

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ZACHERY SCOTT KEESEE,

Plaintiff,

v.

STANISLAUS COUNTY, et al.

Defendants.

1:23-cv-01278-SKO (PC)

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff, a prisoner or pretrial detainee proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. In his complaint, Plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants took place in Stanislaus County.

Effective August 7, 2023, Local Rule 120, of the Local Rules of the United States District Court, Eastern District of California, was amended. See General Order No. 666. Prior to amendment, Local Rule 120(d) provided that “[a]ll civil and criminal actions and proceedings of every nature and kind . . . arising in,” among other counties, the counties of Calaveras, and Stanislaus, “shall be commenced in the United States District Court sitting in Fresno, California, and in Bakersfield, California, Yosemite National Park[,], or other designated places.” As now amended, Local Rule 120(d) provides that as for actions arising in the counties of Calaveras or Stanislaus, such actions shall now “be commenced in the United States Court sitting [in]

1 Sacramento, California, and in Redding, California, or other designated places within those
2 counties.”

3 In light of the amended Local Rule 120(d), Plaintiff is advised that, as this is an action or
4 proceeding that arises in the county of Stanislaus, this case should be transferred to the
5 Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.
6 Further, pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the
7 proper court may, on the court’s own motion, be transferred to the proper court. For these
8 reasons, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This Court will not rule on
9 Plaintiff’s request to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

10 Good cause appearing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

11 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
12 California sitting in Sacramento; and

13 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be
14 filed at:

15 United States District Court
16 Eastern District of California
501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
Sacramento, CA 95814

17 3. This Court has not ruled on Plaintiff’s request to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

18
19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: **August 29, 2023**

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE